

Faculty of Theology
POSTGRADUATE EXAMINER'S REPORT

Dissertation	
Thesis	

Surname and initials of candidate:

--

Surname and initials of examiner:

--

<i>Column 1: excellent [75% and more]</i> <i>Column 2: very good [65% - 74%]</i> <i>Column 3: satisfactory [50% - 64%]</i> <i>Column 4: totally inadequate [less than 50%]</i>

1	Research-worthiness of the theme	1	2	3	4
	Has the research area/s been demarcated meaningfully and appropriately?				
Comments:					

2 Problem statement and aim/objectives	1	2	3	4
Has the problem statement been formulated clearly?				
Have the aim and objectives been stated clearly and adequately and are they feasible?				
Comments:				

3 Literature survey/handling of source material	1	2	3	4
Does the work adequately reflect that the candidate has an acceptable level of knowledge of the relevant and suitably recent literature in the field?				
Is the mentioned knowledge applied and integrated into the study suitably – argumentative, critical and integrated ?				
Comments:				

4 Central theoretical argument	1	2	3	4
Is the central theoretical argument clear and verifiable?				
Comments:				

5 Research methods/techniques; choice and application	1	2	3	4
Are the research methods/techniques appropriate?				
Does the candidate handle them appropriately ?				
Comments:				

6 Logical structure/line of argumentation	1	2	3	4
Is the work systematic, logical, well-structured, balanced and clear regarding the total setup of the study?				
Are the arguments deployed and sustained clearly from the problem statement through to the conclusions ?				
Are the chapters logical steps in the line of argumentation ?				
Comments:				

7 Problem-solving/achievement of aims	1	2	3	4
Does the candidate come to an acceptable solution of the problem stated at the outset ?				
Have the aims been achieved satisfactorily?				
Comments:				

8 Documentation/language usage/technical finish	1	2	3	4
Has the work been documented acceptably [use of references, tables, figures, footnotes, illustrations, list of references]?				
Is the work on par with reference to language usage ?				
Is the stylistic and technical finish in order [title page, table of contents, appendices, summary and bibliography, quality of typography and binding]?				
Comments:				

9 Original contribution of the work [especially in the case of a thesis]	1	2	3	4
Is the contribution important, relevant?				
Comments:				

10 Publish ability of [or sections of] the work	1	2	3	4
Can the work (or sections thereof) be published ?				
Comments:				

Recapitulatory comments:

The mark awarded to the dissertation does not need to be an arithmetical average of the evaluation of the different sub-sections

%	Mark awarded [in the case of a dissertation]:	
----------	--	--

Concluding recommendation [mark one]:

1	Accept with no adjustments	
2	Accept, but request smaller adjustments	
3	Be accepted on condition that specified revisions of a substantive nature be made to the satisfaction of the academic director concerned	
4	Accept, but request substantial adjustments be made to the satisfaction of the examiners concerned	
5	Refer back for revision and re-submission	
6	Reject the dissertation/thesis	

SIGNATURE OF EXAMINER

DATE

Original details: (13216961) G:\My Drive\7. Studente-Admin\7.1 Akademiese Adm\2023\Postgraduate Examiner's Report.docm
12 April 2023

File reference: 7. Student-Admin